arXiv:1411.4699v3 [math.AG] 20 Nov 2014

Purity Results on F-crystals

Jinghao Li

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let W(k) be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficient in k and let $B(k)$ be its fractional field.

Let σ be the absolute Frobenius automorphism :

$$W(k) \xrightarrow{\sigma} W(k)$$
$$x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots) \mapsto \sigma(x) = (x_0^p, x_1^p, \cdots).$$

We extend σ naturally to an automorphism of $B(k)$.

A σ^n-F-crystal (or F^n-crystal or $F-$crystal if $n = 1$) over k (or Spec k) is a pair (M, F) consisting of a free $W(k)$-module M of finite rank, together with a σ^n-linear endomorphism $F : M \to M$, i.e. F is additive and $F(\lambda x) = \sigma^n(\lambda)F(x)\ \forall \lambda \in W(k), \forall x \in M$, which induces an automorphism of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$.

The exterior powers of an F^n-crystal (M, F) are the F^{in}-crystals $(\wedge^i M, \wedge^i F)$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ with underlying modules $\wedge^i M$ and σ^{in}-endomorphisms $\wedge^i(F)$ defined by $\wedge^i(F)(m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_i) = F(m_1) \wedge ... \wedge F(m_i)$. For $i = 0$ and $(M, F) \neq 0$, we define $(\wedge^0 M, \wedge^0 F)$ to be $(W(k), \sigma)$.

A morphism of F^n-crystals $f : (M, F) \to (M', F')$ is a $W(k)$-linear map $f : M \to M'$ such that $fF = F'f$.

The category of F^n-crystals up to isogeny is obtained from the category of F^n-crystals by keeping the same objects, but tensoring **Hom** groups, which are $\mathbb{Z}_p$ modules, over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $\mathbb{Q}_p$. An isogeny between F^n-crystals is a morphism of F^n-crystals which becomes an isomorphism in the category of F^n-crystals up to isogeny.

An F^n-crystal is said to be divisible by $\lambda > 0$ if for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $F^m = 0 \bmod p^{[m\lambda]}$, where $[\cdot] : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the floor function.

The Hodge slopes of an F^n-crystal (M, F) are the integers defined as follows. The image $F(M)$ is a $W(k)$-submodule of M of rank $r = \text{rank}(M)$, so by the theory of elementary divisors, since $W(k)$ is a discrete valuation ring, in particular a principal ideal domain, there exist $W(k)$-bases $\{v_1, ..., v_r\}$ and $\{w_1, ..., w_r\}$ of M such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have

$$F(v_i) = p^{a_i} w_i$$

for some integers $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$. These integers are called the Hodge slopes of (M, F).

The Hodge polygon of (M, F) is the graph of the Hodge function on $[0, r]$ defined on integers $0 \leq i \leq r$ by

$$\text{Hodge}_F(i) = \text{Least Hodge slope of } (\wedge^i M, \lambda^i F) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, \\ a_1 + \cdots + a_i, & 1 \le i \le r \end{cases}$$

and then extended linearly between successive integers.

The Newton slopes of an F^n-crystal (M, F) are the sequence of $r = \text{rank}(M)$ rational numbers $0 \le \lambda_1 \le \cdots \le \lambda_r$ defined in the following way.

Pick an algebraically closed field extension k' of k, and consider the F^n-crystal over k': $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k'), F \otimes \sigma^n)$ obtained from (M, F) by extension of scalars. For each non-negative rational number $\lambda = a/b$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a, b) = 1$, we denote by $E(\lambda)$ the F^n-crystal over k' defined by:

$$E(\lambda) = ((\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(T^b - p^a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k'), \text{(multiplication by } T^n) \otimes \sigma^n).$$

Theorem 0 (Dieudonné–Manin, [De72, (Theorem, Page 85)]) *If k' is an algebraically closed extension of k, then for any F^n-crystal (M, F) over k, $(M \otimes_{W(k)} B(k'), F \otimes \sigma^n)$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of $E(\lambda_i) \otimes_{W(k')} B(k')$'s with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0}$.*

By the above theorem, we can write $(M \otimes_{W(k)} B(k'), F \otimes \sigma^n) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} E(a_i/b_i) \otimes B(k')$ for an increasing sequence $a_1/b_1 \le a_2/b_2 \le \cdots \le a_s/b_s$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = r$. The Newton slopes of (M, F) are defined to be the sequence of r rational numbers $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r) := (a_1/b_1$ repeated b_1 times, a_2/b_2 repeated b_2 times,$\cdots$, a_s/b_s repeated b_s times).

The Newton polygon of (M, F) is the graph of the Newton function on $[0, r]$, defined on integers $0 \le i \le r$ by

$$\text{Newton}_F(i) = \text{least Newton slope of } (\wedge^i M, \lambda^i F) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, \\ \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i, & 1 \le i \le r \end{cases}$$

and then extended linearly between successive integers. Let $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_t\} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_r\}$ with $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_t$ for $1 \le t \le r$ and let r_i be the multiplicity of μ_i for $1 \le i \le t$.

Here is the graph of the Newton polygon of (M, F):


$(r, q) = (\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{t} \mu_i r_i)$
$(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \mu_i r_i)$
$(r_1 + r_2 + r_3, \mu_1 r_1 + \mu_2 r_2 + \mu_3 r_3)$
slope μ_3
$(r_1 + r_2, \mu_1 r_1 + \mu_2 r_2)$
slope μ_2
$(r_1, \mu_1 r_1)$
slope μ_1
$(0, 0)$

For another characterization of the Newton slopes, we choose an auxiliary integer $N \geq 1$ which is divisible by $r!$, where $r = \text{rank}(M)$, and consider the discrete valuation ring $R = W(k')[X]/(X^N - p) = W(k')[p^{1/N}]$. We can extend σ to an automorphism of R by requiring that $\sigma(X + (X^N - p)) = X + (X^N - p)$. For each $\lambda \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$, we can speak about $p^\lambda = X^{N\lambda} + (X^N - p)$ in R.

Let $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. By an analogue of Dieudonné–Manin's theorem over K, we know that $M \otimes_{W(k)} K$ admits a K-basis $e_1, ..., e_r$ which transforms under the $\sigma-$linear endomorphism $F \otimes \sigma$ by the formula $(F \otimes \sigma)(e_i) = p^{\lambda_i} e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. An equivalent characterization of the Newton slopes is by the existence of an R-basis $u_1, ..., u_r$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ with respect to which the matrix of $F \otimes \sigma$ is upper-triangular, with p^{λ_i}'s along the diagonal, i.e. $F(u_i) \equiv p^{\lambda_i} u_i \bmod \sum_{1 \leq j < i} R u_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

The second characterization of Newton slopes shows:

1. The Newton slopes of the m^{th} iterate (M, F^m) of (M, F) are $(m\lambda_1, ..., m\lambda_r)$.
2. All Newton slopes λ_i of (M, F) are equal to 0 if and only if F is a σ^n-linear automorphism of M.
3. All Newton slopes λ_i of (M, F) are > 0 if and only if F is topologically nilpotent on M, i.e. if and only if we have $F^r(M) \subset pM$ where $r = \text{rank}(M)$.

The break points of an F^n-crystal (M, F) are defined to be the points where the Newton polygon changes slopes.


$(r,q) = (\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{t} \mu_i r_i)$
$(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \mu_i r_i)$
break points
$(r_1 + r_2 + r_3, \mu_1 r_1 + \mu_2 r_2 + \mu_3 r_3)$
slope μ_3
$(r_1 + r_2, \mu_1 r_1 + \mu_2 r_2)$
slope μ_2
slope μ_1
$(r_1, \mu_1 r_1)$
$(0,0)$

Remark: From the definition of the Newton slopes, we have that all break points have integer coordinates.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let R be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, let $W_m(R)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of length m with coefficients in R and let σ be the Frobenius endomorpisms of $W_m(R)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$ be the abelian category whose objects are $W_m(R)$-modules endowed with σ^n-linear endomorphisms and whose morphisms are $W_m(R)$-linear maps that respect the σ^n-linear endomorphisms. We identify $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$ with a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_{m+1}(R))$. With a little abuse of terminology, we call the following morphism modulo p^m:

$$\mathcal{M}^n(W_{m+s}(R)) \to \mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$$

$$O \mapsto O \otimes_{W_{m+s}(R)} W_m(R),$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. If S is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme, in a similar way we define $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(S))$. If $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, we identify $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R)) = \mathcal{M}^n(W_m(S))$.

In general, we can define an F^n-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ over any $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra R, cf. [Ka79, (2.1)]. The evaluation of the F^n-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ at the thickening $(R \hookrightarrow W_m(R))$ is a triple $({}_m\mathfrak{C}, F, {}_m\nabla)$, where ${}_m\mathfrak{C}$ is a locally free $W_m(R)$-module of finite rank, $F : {}_m\mathfrak{C} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}$ is a σ^n-linear endomorphism, and ${}_m\nabla$ is an integrable and topologically nilpotent connection on ${}_m\mathfrak{C}$ that satisfies certain axioms. In this paper, connections as ${}_m\nabla$ will play no role. A morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ of F^n-crystals over R defines naturally a morphism in the category of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$

$${}_m\phi : {}_m\mathfrak{C} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_1.$$

The association $\phi \to {}_m\phi$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear (evaluation) functor from the category of F^n-crystals over R into the category $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two objects of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(R))$ such that their underlying $W_m(R)$-modules are locally free of finite ranks. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. We consider the functor

$$\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) : \mathrm{Sch}^S \to \mathrm{SET}$$

from the category Sch^S of S-schemes to the category SET of sets, with the property that $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{Ø}_1, \mathcal{Ø}_2)(S_1)$ is the set underlying the $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$-module of morphisms of $\mathcal{M}(W_m(S_1))$ that are between $f^*(\mathcal{Ø}_1)$ and $f^*(\mathcal{Ø}_2)$; Here $f : S_1 \to S$ is the structual morphism of S_1 and f^* is the pullback to S_1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*(\mathcal{Ø}_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Ø}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_1 & \longrightarrow & S \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} f^*(\mathcal{Ø}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Ø}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_1 & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Lemma 0 *The functor* $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{Ø}_1, \mathcal{Ø}_2)$ *is representable by an affine* S*-scheme which locally is of finite presentation.*

Proof of Lemma 0: In [Va06, (Lemma 2.8.4.1)], Vasiu proved the lemma in the case when $n = 1$. We note that the proof for a general natural number n goes the same way. ■

Now let R be a reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. Let R^{perf} be its perfect closure.

Example: If $R = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$, then $R^{\mathrm{perf}} = \bigcup_{u \geq 1} k[x_1^{\frac{1}{p^u}}, \cdots, x_n^{\frac{1}{p^u}}]$.

Talking about an F^n-crystal over $S = \mathrm{Spec}\ R$, we can look at its pullback to its perfect closure R^{perf}. The pullback of such an F-crystal to R^{perf} is a finite rank locally free $W(R^{\mathrm{perf}})$-module M equipped with a Frobenius linear map $F : M \to M$ such that $F(M) \supset p^t M$ for some $t \geq 0$.

Let S =Spec R be a reduced affine $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F^n-crystal over S. For any $\mathbb{F}_p$-homomorphism $\phi : R \to k$, the pullback $\mathfrak{C}^{(\phi)}$ is an F^n-crystal over k. Its Newton polygon depends only on the underlying point $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi) \in S$. This allows us to speak about the Newton slopes and Newton polygons of $\mathfrak{C}$ at various points of S.

We define a function

$$f_{\mathfrak{C}} : S \to \text{Set of Newton Polygons}$$

$s \mapsto \mathrm{NP}(\mathfrak{C}_s)$, where $\mathfrak{C}_s$ is the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}$ to the algebraic closure $\overline{k(s)}$ of the residue field $k(s)$ of the point $s \in S$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_s & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}\ \overline{k(s)} & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

By the Newton polygon stratification of an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme S defined by an F^n-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ over S we mean the stratification of S with the property that each stratum of it is of the form $f_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\text{a fixed Newton Polygon})_{\mathrm{red}}$. For a given Newton polygon ν, let $S_\nu = f_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\nu)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

Clearly we have a set partition: $S = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in I} S_\nu$, where I is the set of all possible Newton Polygons.

Example: Let $R = k[t]$ and $R^{\mathrm{perf}} = k[t]^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}\ R$ be as above. Let $\underline{t} \in W(R^{\mathrm{perf}})$ be the image of $(t, 0, 0, \cdots) \in W(R)$. Suppose M is an F-crystal over R such that its pullback to R^{perf} is an F-crystal (M^{perf}, F) where $M^{\mathrm{perf}} = W(R^{\mathrm{perf}}) \oplus W(R^{\mathrm{perf}})$ with $\{e_1, e_2\}$ as a basis

and $F(e_1) = te_1 + pe_2$, $F(e_2) = pe_1$. We observe that when $t = 0$ the corresponding Newton polygon ν_1 has no break point in the middle (i.e., it is not the starting or ending point) and a unique slope 1. When $t \neq 0$, the corresponding Newton polygon ν_2 has the break point $(1, 0)$ in the middle and two Newton slopes 0 and 2. Thus in this case as sets we have $S_{\nu_1} = \{(t)\}$, $S_{\nu_2} = S \setminus \{(t)\}$ and $S_\nu = \emptyset$ for $\nu \neq \nu_1$ or ν_2.

Theorem 1 (Grothendieck–Katz, [Ka79, Theorem 2.3.1]) *Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme, $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F-crystal over S and ν be a Newton polygon. Then the set*

$$S_{\geq \nu} = \{s \in S \,|\, NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \text{ lies above } \nu\}$$

is Zariski closed in S.

Corollary 1 *Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme, $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F-crystal over S and ν be a Newton polygon. All the strata S_ν of the Newton polygon stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ are locally closed subschemes of S.*

Types of purity notions:

Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. Let T be a reduced locally closed subscheme of S. Let $\overline{T}$ be the schematic closure of T, i.e., topologically $\overline{T}$ is the Zariski closure of T in S and endowed with the reduced ringed structure.

(**à la Nagata–Zariski**) Suppose S is locally Noetherian. We say T is **weakly pure** in S, if each non-empty irreducible component of $\overline{T} \setminus T$ has pure codimention 1 in $\overline{T}$.

Suppose S is locally Noetherian. We say T is **universally weakly pure** in S, if for every locally Noetherian scheme S_1 equipped with a morphism $S_1 \to S$, the locally closed subscheme $(T \times_S S_1)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is weakly pure in S_1.

(**Vasiu**) We say T is **pure** in S, if T is an affine S-scheme.

(**folklore**) We say T is **strongly pure** in S, if locally in the Zariski topology of $\overline{T}$ there exists a global function f on $\overline{T}$ such that $T = \overline{T}_f$ is the largest open subscheme of $\overline{T}$ over which f is invertible.

Lemma 1 *Let S be a reduced locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. If $T \subset S$ is locally closed and S-affine, then $\overline{T} \setminus T$ is either $\emptyset$ or of pure codimension 1 in S. In particular, purity implies weak purity.*

Proof of Lemma 1: Since this is a local statement, we can assume both $S = \mathrm{Spec}\, R$ and $T = \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ are reduced affine schemes. By replacing S by $\overline{T}$, we can assume T is open dense in S. If $T = S$, the statement is proved. Otherwise, let x be a generic point of an irreducible component of $S \setminus T$ and let $d = \dim \mathcal{O}_{S,x}$. We need to show $d = 1$. Since T is dense in S and $S \setminus T \neq \emptyset$, we know $d \geq 1$. Consider the pullback $\hat{T}$ of T in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{T}_{\mathrm{red}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}\, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,x}}_{\mathrm{red}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \hookrightarrow & S = \mathrm{Spec}\, R. \end{array}$$

By replacing S by Spec $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,x}}_{\text{red}}$ and T with $\hat{T}_{\text{red}}$, we can assume R is a local, reduced, complete noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p-$algebra and all the points in $S\setminus T$ are of codimension $\geq d$ in S. As R is local, complete ring, it is also excellent (cf. [Hi80, (34.B)]). Thus the normalization S^n of S is a finite S-scheme. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^n & \hookrightarrow & S^n = \text{Spec } R^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \hookrightarrow & S = \text{Spec } R. \end{array}$$

Since the morphism $S^n \to S$ is both finite and surjective, for any preimage of x in S^n, say $\tilde{x} \in S^n$, we have $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S^n,\tilde{x}} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{S,x}$. By replacing x by $\tilde{x}$, T by T^n and S by S^n, we can assume both $T = \text{Spec } A$ and $S = \text{Spec } R$ are affine, normal, reduced, Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes and all the points in $S\setminus T$ are of codimension $\geq d$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $T_i = \text{Spec } A_i \subset S_i = \text{Spec } R_i$ be the irreducible components of T and S respectively and we have A_i and R_i are all normal, integral, Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras. Now Suppose $d \geq 2$. At the level of rings, the codimension 1 points are height 1 prime ideals. Therefore for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have,

$$R_i \hookrightarrow A_i = \bigcap_{p \text{ a prime of height } 1} A_{i,p} = \bigcap_{p \text{ a prime of height } 1} R_{i,p} = R_i$$

(cf. [Hi80, (17.H)] for the first and third equalities). Therefore

$$S = \text{Spec} \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \text{Spec} \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i = T,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $d = 1$ and Lemma 1 is proved. ■

We have the following obvious implications and identifications:

Strong purity⇒ purity ⇒ univeral weak purity ⇒ weak purity
Purity=universal purity
Strong purity= universal strong purity

Theorem 2 (A. J. de Jong and F. Oort, [JO00, (Theorem 4.1)]) *Let S be a reduced locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme and let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an $F-$crystal over S. Then the Newton polygon stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ is universally weakly pure in S.*

Theorem 3 (A. Vasiu, [Va06, (Theorem 6.1)]) *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F-crystal over a reduced locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme S. Then the Newton polygon stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ is pure in S.*

Let P_0 be a point in the xy-coordinate plane and let

$$S_{P_0} = \{s \in S \mid NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \text{ has } P_0 \text{ as a break point}\}.$$

It can be shown that topologically S_{P_0} is locally closed in S (We will prove this in the proof of Theorem 5), and we endow it with the reduced ringed structure.

Theorem 4 (Y. Yang, [Ya10, (Theorem 1.1)]) *Let S be a reduced locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme and let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F-crystal over S. Fix a point P_0 in the xy-coordinate plane. Then S_{P_0}*

is universally weakly pure in S.

Our main result is the following theorem, which will imply Theorems 2 to 4:

Theorem 5 (J. Li) *Let S be a reduced locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F^n-crystal over S, $n \geq 1$. Fix a point P_0 in the xy-coordinate plane. Then S_{P_0} is pure in S.*

Proof of Theorem 5: It will be done in 5 steps.

Step 1. Reduction step.

Since purity is a local statement, we first assume $S = \text{Spec } R$ is affine and we need to show that S_{P_0} is an affine scheme. Let $P_0 = (a, b)$. If $(a, b) \notin \mathbb{N}^2$ or $a = 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then $S_{P_0} = \emptyset$ and the theorem holds trivially. If $(a, b) = (0, 0)$, then $S_{p_0} = S$. Again the theorem holds trivially. Now we suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. By replacing $\mathfrak{C}$ by $\wedge^a\mathfrak{C}$, we see that $S_{(a,b)} = \{s \in S |\ NP(\wedge^a C_s)$ has $(1, b)$ as a break point$\}$. Therefore, we can assume $a = 1$. By replacing $\mathfrak{C}$ by $\mathfrak{C}^{\otimes c}$ with c a large integer (For example $c = r!$, where $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{C})$), we can assume that for each point $s \in S$, all Newton slopes of $\mathfrak{C}_s$ are integers. Now let $S_{\geq \nu_1} = \{s \in S | NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \geq \nu_1\}$, where ν_1 is the following Newton polygon:



From Theorem 1, $S_{\geq \nu_1}$ is closed in S, thus affine and since $(1, b)$ is a break point of ν_1, we have $S_{P_0} \subset S_{\geq \nu_1}$. By replacing S by $S_{\geq \nu_1}$, we can assume $NP(C_s) \geq \nu_1$ for any $s \in S$. Let $S_{\geq \nu_2} = \{s \in S | NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \geq \nu_2\}$, where ν_2 is the following Newton polygon:



We have $S_{P_0} = S \backslash S_{\geq \nu_2}$ and this shows that S_{P_0} is locally closed in S. If $S_{\geq \nu_2} = \emptyset$, then $S_{P_0} = S$ is affine and the theorem is proved. Now we suppose $S_{\geq \nu_2} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{P_0} = S \backslash S_{\geq \nu_2}$ is an open subscheme of $S = \text{Spec } R$ and we need to show S_{P_0} is affine. The statement is local in the faithfully flat topology of S and thus we can assume that S is local. Let $\hat{R}$ be the completion of R and let $\hat{S} := \text{Spec } \hat{R}$. As $\hat{S}$ is a faithfully flat S-scheme, to show that S_{P_0} is affine it suffices to show that $S_{P_0} \times_S \hat{S}$ is affine. Let $\hat{S}_1 = \text{Spec } \hat{R}_1, \cdots, \hat{S}_j = \text{Spec } \hat{R}_j$ be the irreducible components of the reduced scheme of $\hat{S}$ (Here $j \in \mathbb{N}$); They are spectra of local, complete, integral, Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras. The scheme $S_{P_0} \times_S \hat{S}$ is affine if and only if the irreducible components $S_{P_0} \times_S \hat{S}_1, \cdots, S_{P_0} \times_S \hat{S}_j$ of the reduced scheme of $S_{P_0} \times_S \hat{S}$ are all affine, cf. Chevalley's theorem in [Gr61, Ch. II, Cor. (6.7.3)]. So to prove the theorem we can assume $R = \hat{R} = \hat{R}_1$. As R is a local, complete ring, it is also excellent, cf. [Hi80, (34.B)]. Thus the normalization S^n of S is a finite S-scheme. So S^n is a semilocal, complete, integral, normal scheme. This implies S^n is local. But S_{P_0} is affine if and only if $S_{P_0} \times_S S^n$ is affine, cf. [Va06, (Lemma 2.9.2)]. Thus to prove the theorem, we can also assume S is normal, i.e., $S = S^n$. We emphasize that for the rest of the proof we will use the fact that R is a complete, integral, local, normal $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra and $U := S_{P_0}$ is an open subscheme of $S = \text{Spec } R$. We can assume $U \neq \emptyset$ and hence it is dense in S. Let k_S be the field of fractions of R and k be its algebraic closure.

Step 2. The affine S−scheme H_m.

Now let $\mathfrak{C}_0$ be an F^n−crystal of rank 1 and slope b over $\mathbb{F}_p$, that is $\mathfrak{C}_0 = (\mathbb{Z}_p, p^b\sigma^n)$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ be its pullback to S:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{0,S} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p. \end{array}$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m >> 0$. Let ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ be the evaluation of $\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ at $W_m(S) = W_m(R)$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}$ be the evaluation of $\mathfrak{C}$ at $W_m(S)$. We view these evaluations as $W_m(R)$-modules equipped with σ^n-linear endomorphisms. By Lemma 0, the functor $\mathbf{HOM}({}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}, {}_m\mathfrak{C})$ is representable by an affine S-scheme H_m which is of finite presentation and it is Noetherian since S is Noetherian. In other words, we have an affine morphism of finite type: $H_m \to S$. Let x be a point of codimension 1 in $U \xrightarrow{\text{open}} S$. Consider the stalk at x: $V_x := \mathcal{O}_{U,x} = \mathcal{O}_{S,x}$. It is an integrally closed noetherian

local domain of dimension one. Therefore, V_x is a discrete valuation ring. Let W_x be a discrete valuation ring with an algebraically closed residue field such that $V_x \hookrightarrow W_x$ and to simplify our notation, let $W := W_x^{\text{perf}}$ be its perfect closure. Consider the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}$ to W:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_W & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } W & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

As $S = S_{\mu_1}$, all Newton slopes of $\mathfrak{C}_W$ are greater or equal to b at every point of Spec W. By [Ka79, (Theorem 2.6.1)], we have an isogeny ϕ: $\mathfrak{C}_W \to \mathfrak{C}'_W$, where $\mathfrak{C}'_W$ is an F^n-crystal over W which is divisible by b and the cokernel of ϕ is annihilated by p^t for $t = (r-1)b$. Let φ be the isogeny from $\mathfrak{C}'_W$ to $\mathfrak{C}_W$. Next we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2 *Let W be a perfect discrete valuation ring of characteristic p with an algebraically closed residue field. Let (M, F) be an F^n-crystal over W such that at each point of Spec W, all its Newton slopes are greater than or equal to b and it is divisible by b. Assume the multiplicity of its Newton slope b is 1 at each point of Spec W, then there exists a unique sub-F^n-crystal (M_1, F_1) of (M, F) which is also a direct summand, where (M_1, F_1) is an F^n-crystal over W of rank 1, Newton slope b.*

Proof of Lemma 2: Since (M, F) is divisible by b, we have $F(M) \subset p^b M$. Let w be an arbitrary point in Spec W and let (M_w, F_w) be the pullback of (M, F) to $\overline{k(w)}$, where $\overline{k(w)}$ is the algebraic closure of the residue field $k(w)$ at w

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M_w, F_w) & \longrightarrow & (M, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \overline{k(w)} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } W. \end{array}$$

We have $F_w(M_w) \subset p^b M_w$ and $(1, b)$ is a break point of the Newton polygon of (M_w, F_w) for every $w \in$ Spec W. If $F_w(M_w) \subset p^{b+1} M_w$, then all the Newton slopes of (M_w, F_w) will be greater than b, which is a contradiction. Thus $F_w(M_w) \not\subset p^{b+1} M_w$ and $F_w(M_w) \subset p^b M_w$. Since for every point $w \in$ Spec W the multiplicity of the Newton slope b of (M_w, F_w) is 1, therefore the point $(1, b)$ lies on the Hodge polygon of (M_w, F_w) at every point $w \in$ Spec W and by [Ka79, (Theorem 2.4.2)], lemma holds. ■

Applying Lemma 2, we have a monomorphism: $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}'_W$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W}$ is an F^n-crystal over W of rank 1 and Newton slope b and j_m admits a unique splitting. Modulo p^m, at the level of evaluation we get a monomorphism: ${}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W} \hookrightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_W$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_{0,W}$ be the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ to Spec W:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{0,W} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{0,S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } W & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}_{0,W}$ is also an F^n-crystal over W of rank 1 and Newton slope b, modulo p^m we have ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,W}$ isomorphic to ${}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W}$. Now we have a morphism $i_W(m)$ from ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,W} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_W$ by composing the following morphisms: ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,W} \simeq {}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W} \hookrightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_W \xrightarrow{\varphi_m} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_W$, where φ_m is the isogeny φ

modulo p^m, and thus its cokernel is annihilated by p^t. Let j_m be the composition of the morphisms: ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,W} \simeq {}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{0,W} \hookrightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_W$ and we know that j_m is a monomorphism that splits.

Step 3. Gluing morphisms.

Before we glue the morphisms, let us first discuss three useful cases of inductive limits.

Let $V \hookrightarrow V_1$ be a monomorphism of commutative $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras. Suppose we have an inductive limit $V_1 = \operatorname{ind}\lim_{\alpha\in\Lambda} V_\alpha$ of commutative V-subalgebras of V_1 indexed by the set of objects Λ of a filtered, small category. For $\alpha \in \Lambda$, let $f^\alpha : \operatorname{Spec} V_\alpha \to \operatorname{Spec} V$ be the natural morphism. Let (O, ϕ_O) and $(O', \phi_{O'})$ be objects of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(V))$ such that O and O' are free $W_m(V)$-modules of finite rank. Let (O_1, ϕ_{O_1}) and $(O'_1, \phi_{O'_1})$ be the pullbacks of (O, ϕ_O) and $(O', \phi_{O'})$ (respectively) to objects of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(V_1))$. We consider a morphism

$$u_1 : (O_1, \phi_{O_1}) \to (O'_1, \phi_{O'_1})$$

of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(V_1))$. We fix ordered $W_m(V)$-bases $\mathcal{B}_O$ and $\mathcal{B}'_O$ of O and O' (respectively). Let B_1 be the matrix representation of u_1 with respect to the ordered $W_m(V_1)$-basis of O_1 and O'_1 defined naturally by $\mathcal{B}_O$ and $\mathcal{B}'_O$ (respectively). Let V_{u_1} be the V-subalgebra of V_1 generated by the components of the Witt vectors of length m with coefficients in V_1 that are entries of B_1. As V_{u_1} is a finitely generated V-algebra, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $V_{u_1} \hookrightarrow V_{\alpha_0}$. This implies that u_1 is the pullback of a morphism

$$u_{\alpha_0} : f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O, \phi_O) \to f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O', \phi_{O'})$$

of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(V_{\alpha_0}))$. Here are three special cases of interest.

(a) If V is a field and V_1 is an algebraic closure of V , then as V_α's we can take the finite field extensions of V that are contained in V_1.

(b) If V_1 is a local ring of an integral domain V , then as V_α's we can take the V-algebras of global functions of open, affine subschemes of Spec V that contain Spec V_1.

(c) We consider the case when V is a discrete valuation ring that is an $N-2$ ring in the sense of [Hi80, (31.A)], when V_1 is a faithfully flat V-algebra that is also a discrete valuation ring, and when each V_α is a V-algebra of finite type. The flat morphism $f^{\alpha_0} : \operatorname{Spec} V_{\alpha_0} \to \operatorname{Spec} V$ has quasi-sections, cf. [Gr64, Ch. IV, Cor. (17.16.2)]. In other words, there exists a finite field extension $\tilde{k}$ of k and a V-subalgebra $\tilde{V}$ of $\tilde{k}$ such that: (i) $\tilde{V}$ is a local, faithfully flat V-algebra of finite type whose field of fractions is $\tilde{k}$, and (ii) we have a morphism $\tilde{f}^{\alpha_0} : \operatorname{Spec} \tilde{V} \to \operatorname{Spec} V_{\alpha_0}$ such that $\tilde{f} := f^{\alpha_0} \cdot \tilde{f}^{\alpha_0}$ is the natural morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{V} \to \operatorname{Spec} V$. As V is an $N-2$ ring, its normalization in $\tilde{k}$ is a finite V-algebra and so a Dedekind domain. This implies that we can assume $\tilde{V}$ is a discrete valuation ring. For future use, we recall that any excellent ring is a Nagata ring (cf. [Hi80, (34.A)]) and so also an $N-2$ ring (cf. [Hi80, (31.A)]). Let

$$\tilde{u} : \tilde{f}_m^*(O, \phi_O) = \tilde{f}_m^{\alpha_0 *}(f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O, \phi_O)) \to \tilde{f}_m^*(O', \phi_{O'}) = \tilde{f}_m^{\alpha_0 *}(f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O', \phi_{O'}))$$

be the pullback of u_{α_0} to a morphism of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(\tilde{V}))$. If V is the local ring of an integral $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme U, then $\tilde{V}$ is a local ring of the normalization of U in $\tilde{k}$. So from (b) we get that there exists an open subscheme $\tilde{U}$ of this last normalization that has $\tilde{V}$ as a local ring and that has the property that $\tilde{u}$ extends to a morphism of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(\tilde{U}))$.

Facts:

1. If u_1 is a monomorphism and (O_1, ϕ_{O_1}) is a direct summand of $(O'_1, \phi_{O'_1})$, then u_{α_0} is a monomorphism and $f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O, \phi_O)$ is a direct summand of $f_m^{\alpha_0 *}(O', \phi_{O'})$.

2. If u_1 is a morphism such that its cokernel is annihilated by p^t, by enlarging V_{u_1}, we can assume $\mathrm{Coker}(u_{\alpha_0})$ is also annihilated by p^t, cf. [Va06, 2.8.3].

Now let

$$v := \max\{v(1,1,b,c) \mid c = 0,1,2,\cdots, \text{ Maximum hodge slope of } \mathfrak{C}_k\},$$

cf. [Va06, 5.1.1(b)] for the function $v(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ with $M_1 = \mathfrak{C}_{0,k}$ and $M_2 = \mathfrak{C}_k$ (v does not depend on m). Replacing m by $m + v$, from the above discussion (case (c)), we get that there exists a finite field extension $k_{S,\tilde{V}_x}$ of k_S and an open, affine subscheme $U_{\tilde{V}_x}$ of the normalization of U in $k_{S,\tilde{V}_x}$, such that $U_{\tilde{V}_x}$ has a local ring $\tilde{V}_x$ which is a discrete valuation ring that dominates V_x and moreover we have a morphism

$$i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m+v) : {}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{\tilde{V}_x}} \to {}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}},$$

where ${}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{\tilde{V}_x}}$ and ${}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}$ are the pullbacks of ${}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ and ${}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}$ to Spec $U_{\tilde{V}_x}$ respectively. Modulo p^m, we have a morphism

$$i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m) : {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{\tilde{V}_x}} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}},$$

where ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{\tilde{V}_x}}$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}$ are the pullbacks of ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}$ to Spec $U_{\tilde{V}_x}$ respectively. Let I_m be the set of morphisms ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_k$ that are reductions modulo p^m of morphisms ${}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} \to {}_{m+v}\mathfrak{C}_k$. From [Va06, (Theorem 5.1.1(b)+ Remark 5.1.2)], we get that each morphism in I_m lifts to a morphism $\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} \to \mathfrak{C}_k$; Thus I_m is a finite set. Based on the above discussion (case (a)), by replacing (S, U) by its normalizations $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{U})$ in a finite field extension of k_S, we can assume that I_m is the set of pullbacks of a set of morphisms L_m of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(k_S))$. Since $i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m)$ is the reduction modulo p^m of the morphism $i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m+v)$, thus $i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m) \in I_m$ and the pullback of $i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m)$ to a morphism of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(k_{S,V_x}))$ is also the pullback of a morphism in L_m. As $V_x = \tilde{V}_x \cap k_S$, inside $W_m(k_{S,V_x})$ we have $W_m(V_x) = W_m(\tilde{V}_x) \cap W_m(k_S)$. This implies that the pullback of $i_{U_{\tilde{V}_x}}(m)$ to a morphism of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(\tilde{V}_x))$ is in fact the pullback of a morphism of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(V_x))$. From the above discussion (case (b)) (applied with (V_1, V) replaced by (V_x, R)), we get the existence of an open subscheme U_{V_x} of U that has V_x as a local ring and such that we have a morphism:

$$i_{U_{V_x}}(m) : {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{V_x}} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{V_x}},$$

where ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{V_x}}$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{V_x}}$ are the pullbacks of ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,S}$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}$ to Spec U_{V_x} respectively. Using the above Facts 1 and 2, if we apply similar arguments on $j_m : {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,W} \hookrightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_W$ and $\varphi_m : {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_W \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_W$, we can assume that $i_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is the composition of two morphisms $j_{U_{V_x}}(m) : {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{V_x}} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{U_{V_x}}$ and $\varphi_{U_{V_x}}(m) : {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{U_{V_x}} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{V_x}}$, where $j_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is a monomorphism that splits and the cokernel of $\varphi_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is annihilated by p^t. Now at the level of modules consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{V_x}} & \xrightarrow{i_{U_{V_x}}(m)} & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{V_x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} & \xrightarrow{i_{k,x}(m)} & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_k. \end{array}$$

Let ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} = (W_m(k), p^b\sigma^n)$. Since the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}_k$ has $(1, b)$ as a break point, at the level of evaluation there is a unique rank 1 free sub-$W_m(k)$-module ${}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_k = (W_m(k), p^b\sigma^n)$ of ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_k$. The morphism $i_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is nonzero mod p^t (assuming $m > t$) since $i_{U_{V_x}}(m) = \varphi_{U_{V_x}}(m) \circ j_{U_{V_x}}(m)$, where $\mathrm{Coker}(\varphi_{U_{V_x}}(m))$ is annihilated by p^t and $j_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is a monomorphism that splits. Therefore the morphism $i_{k,x}(m)$ is not a zero morphism if $m > t$, thus it must factor through ${}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_k$

$$i_{k,x}(m) : {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} \xrightarrow{f_x(m)} {}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_k \hookrightarrow {}_m\mathfrak{C}_k.$$

Let $\epsilon = f_x(m)(1) \in W_m(k)$ and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} = W_m(k) & \xrightarrow{f_x(m)} & {}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_k = W_m(k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^b\sigma^n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^b\sigma^n} \\ {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,k} = W_m(k) & \xrightarrow{f_x(m)} & {}_m\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_k = W_m(k). \end{array}$$

We have $p^b\sigma^n(\epsilon) = p^b\sigma^n(f_x(m)(1)) = f_x(m)(p^b\sigma^n(1)) = f_x(m)(p^b) = p^b f_x(m)(1) = p^b\epsilon$. Therefore, $\sigma^n(\epsilon) = \epsilon \bmod p^{m-b}$. Let $\epsilon = p^{t_x}\epsilon'$, where $\epsilon' \in W_m(k)$ is invertible. Since the cokernel of $f_x(m)$ is annihilated by p^t (as the pullback to Spec k of $\varphi_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ is annihilated by p^t and the pullback to Spec k of $j_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ splits), we have $1 \le t_x \le t$. We now have $\sigma^n(\epsilon') = \epsilon' \bmod p^{m-b-t_x}$. Therefore $\sigma^n((\epsilon')^{-1}) = (\epsilon')^{-1} \bmod p^{m-b-t_x}$. This shows that multiplication by $(\epsilon')^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $W_{m-b-t_x}(k)$ and of $W_{m-b-t}(k)$ since $t_x \le t$. Replacing m by $m - b - t$ and composing $f_x(m)$ with the endomorphism of $W_m(k)$

$$W_m(k) \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication by } (\epsilon')^{-1}} W_m(k)$$

we can assume $f_x(m)(1) = 1 \in W_m(k)$.

Now let $y \in U$ be another point of codimension 1. Similarly we can construct a morphism $f_y(m)$ and by the above construction $f_x(m)$ and $f_y(m)$ coincide. This tells us that the pullbacks of $i_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ and $i_{U_{V_y}}(m)$ to morphisms of $\mathcal{M}^n(W_m(U_{V_x} \cap U_{V_y}))$ coincide and therefore they glue together. Now we glue the morphisms $i_{U_{V_x}}(m)$ for all $x \in U$ with codimension 1 and we obtain a morphism $i_{U_0}(m)$: ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_0} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_0}$, where U_0 is an open subscheme of U and S and codim $(U \backslash U_0) \ge 2$. By the definition of H_m, we have constructed an S-section j: $U_0 \to H_m$.

Step 4. Sections of $\mathbf{H_m}$.

By the gluing argument of Step 3, we have an open subscheme U_0 of S with $\mathrm{codim}(U \backslash U_0) \ge 2$ and a section $j : U_0 \to H_m$ such that the following diagram commutes:



Let J_m be the schematic closure $\overline{j(U_0)}$ of $j(U_0)$ in H_m. As H_m is affine and Noetherian, J_m is also an affine, Noetherian S-scheme. Since S is Noetherian, normal and integral, we get that U_0 is also Noetherian, normal and integral and therefore J_m is integral. Now we have the following commutative diagram:



Now consider the pullback $\tilde{J}_m$ of J_m to U:



Claim: The morphism g is an isomorphism, i.e., $\tilde{J}_m \simeq U$.

Proof of Claim: To prove that g is an isomorphism, we can assume $U = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. As g is an affine morphism, we can also assume $\tilde{J}_m = \text{Spec } B$ is also affine. Since U_0 is open dense in both U and $\tilde{J}_m$, therefore U and $\tilde{J}_m$ are birationally equivalent. Thus their fractional fields Frac(A) and Frac(B) are equal. As codim $(U \backslash U_0) \geq 2$ and the fact that U_0 is in both U and $\tilde{J}_m$, we have $A_p = B_p$ for any prime $p \in \text{Spec } A$ of height 1. As A is a Noetherian normal domain, we have

$$A \hookrightarrow B \subset \bigcap_{q \in \text{Spec } B \text{ of height } 1} B_q \subset \bigcap_{p \in \text{Spec } A \text{ of height } 1} A_p = A$$

(cf. [Hi80, (17.H)] for the equality part; The first monomorphism is given by $g^{\#}$). Therefore $A = B$ and the claim is proved.

Now we have a section from $U \simeq \tilde{J}_m \hookrightarrow H_m$.

Step 5. Final output: $\mathbf{U = J_m}$ for $\mathbf{m >> 0}$.

Claim: *If $m >> 0$, then $U = J_m$.*

Proof of Claim: Suppose not. Let η be the generic point of an irreducible component of $J_m \backslash U$. Consider the stalk at η: $\mathcal{O}_{J_m,\eta} := R_p$, which is a normal, local Noetherian ring but not a field since U is dense in J_m. Now we have $\dim(R_p) \geq 1$. Therefore, we can find a prime ideal $q \in U \subset S_p$, such that $\dim(R_p/q) = 1$. Now the normalization $(R_p/q)^n$ of R_p/q is an integrally closed noetherian local ring of dimension one, thus a discrete valuation ring. The morphism Spec $(R_p/q) \to J_m$ obtained from composing the natural morphisms Spec $(R_p/q)^n \to$ Spec $R_p/q \to$ Spec $R_p \to J_m$ sends the generic point of Spec $(R_p/q)^n$ to U and the closed point to $J_m \backslash U$. With the help of a little commutative algebra, we can further assume the generic point of Spec $(R_p/q)^n$ is mapped into $U_0 \subset U$. Let D be the completion of the discrete valuation ring $(R_p/q)^n$, it is isomorphic to a power series ring $l[[t]]$ for some field l of characteristic p by Cohen structure theorem, cf. [Ei07, (Theorem 7.7)]. By injecting $l[[t]]$ to $\bar{l}[[t]]$, we can further assume l is algebraically closed. Now we look at the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}$ to Spec D:



It is an F^n-crystal such that at the generic point Spec (Frac(D)) of D its Newton polygon has $(1, b)$ as a break point and at the closed point Spec l of D all Newton slopes are at least $b + 1$. Suppose the generic point Spec (Frac(D)) is mapped to $z \in U_0$, we pull back the following morphisms to Spec (D):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,U_{V_z}} & \xrightarrow{j_{U_{V_z}}(m)} & {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{U_{V_z}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{U_{V_z}}(m)} & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{U_{V_z}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,D} & \longrightarrow & {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_D & \longrightarrow & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_D. \end{array}$$

Let $E = D^{\text{perf}}$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,E}$, ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_E$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E$ be the pullback of ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,D}$, ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_D$ and ${}_m\mathfrak{C}'_D$ to Spec E respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,E} & \longrightarrow & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_{0,D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } E & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } D \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_E & \longrightarrow & {}_m\mathfrak{C}_D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } E & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } D \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E & \longrightarrow & {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } E & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } D. \end{array}$$

Recall $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{C})=\text{rank}({}_m\mathfrak{C})= \text{rank}({}_m\mathfrak{C}_E)= \text{rank}({}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E)$. Let ${}_m\mathfrak{C}_E = (W_m(E)^r,\ \overline{F})$, let ${}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E = (W_m(E)^r,\ \overline{F'})$ and let ${}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{0,E} = (W_m(E),\ p^b\sigma^n)$. Since $\mathfrak{C}'$ is divisible by b, we can assume $\overline{F'} = p^b\overline{G}$. Let x be a basis element of M_0/p^mM_0 (We can assume $\sigma^n x = x$.) and consider the following morphism at the level of $W_m(E)$-modules:

$$\begin{array}{c} {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{0,E} \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E \xrightarrow{\gamma'} {}_m\mathfrak{C}_E \\ x \mapsto y \mapsto \tilde{y}, \end{array}$$

where $y = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_r)$ and $y_i \in W_m(E)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. We have $p^b(\overline{G}(y) - y) = p^b\overline{G}(\gamma(x)) - p^b\gamma(x) = \overline{F}'(\gamma(x)) - \gamma(p^bx) = \gamma(p^b\sigma^n x) - \gamma(p^bx) = 0$. Therefore $\overline{G}(y) = y \mod p^{m-b}$. Since the cokernel of $\gamma' : {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}_E$ is annihilated by p^t and $\gamma : {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_{0,E} \to {}_m\mathfrak{C}'_E$ is a monomorphism that splits, we can write $y = p^{t_0}z$ for $0 \le t_0 \le t$ and $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_r)$ is not divisible by p, where $z_i = (z_{i,0}, z_{i,1}z_{i,2}, \cdots, z_{i,m-1})$ with $z_{i,j} \in E$ for $1 \le i \le r, 0 \le j \le m-1$.

Subclaim 1: *$y \neq 0$ mod p^{t_0} at the closed point Spec l of Spec E.*

Proof of Subclaim 1: Recall $E = l[[T]]^{\text{perf}}$. As $\overline{G}(y) = y \mod p^{m-b}$ and $y = p^{t_0}z$ with $0 \le t_0 \le t$, we have $\overline{G}(z) = z \mod p^{m-b-t}$. Since $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_r)$ is not divisible by p, modulo p in E^r we have $(z_{1,0}, z_{2,0}, \cdots, z_{r,0}) \neq 0$. Suppose $z = 0$ at the closed point Spec l, then for some $v \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $z_{i,0} \in l[[T^{\frac{1}{p^v}}]] \subset l[[T]]^{\text{perf}}$ and $z_{i,0} = 0 \mod T^{\frac{1}{p^v}}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. Now Let $\tilde{T} = T^{\frac{1}{p^v}}$ and let $z_{i,0} = \tilde{T}^f z'_{i,0}$ for some $f \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$ and $z'_{i_0,0} \neq 0 \mod \tilde{T}$ for some $1 \le i_0 \le r$. Let $\overline{\overline{G}}$ be $\overline{G}$ mod p. We have $\tilde{T}^f(z'_{1,0}, z'_{2,0}, \cdots, z'_{r,0}) = (z_{1,0}, z_{2,0}, \cdots, z_{r,0}) = \overline{\overline{G}}((z_{1,0}, z_{2,0}, \cdots, z_{r,0})) = \overline{\overline{G}}(\tilde{T}^f(z'_{1,0}, z'_{2,0}, \cdots, z'_{r,0})) = \tilde{T}^{p^n f}\overline{\overline{G}}((z'_{1,0}, z'_{2,0}, \cdots, z'_{r,0}))$. This contradicts to the fact that $z'_{i_0,0} \neq 0 \mod \tilde{T}$ and thus $z \neq 0$ at the closed point. As $y = p^{t_0}z$, we have $y \neq 0 \mod p^{t_0}$ at the closed point and Subclaim 1 is proved.

Evaluating the morphism γ at the closed point Spec l of Spec E we have a morphism

$$\beta : (W_m(l), p^b\sigma^n) \to (N, \hat{F}),$$

where $(N, \hat{F}) = (\mathfrak{C}_l \bmod p^m)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_l$ is the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}_D$ to the closed point Spec l. Since $y \neq 0 \bmod p^{t_0}$ at Spec l, the morphism β is non-zero when reduced modulo p^{t_0}.

Subclaim 2**:** $\mathfrak{C}_l$ *has Newton slope b.*

Proof of Subclaim 2: Let $\mathfrak{C}_l = (M_l, F_l)$ and suppose all Newton slopes of $\mathfrak{C}_l$ are at least $b+1$. By [Ka79, (Sharp Slope Estimate 1.5.1)] we have $F_l^u(M_l) \subset p^{(b+1)u-h} M_l$ for all $u \in \mathbb{N}$, where $h > 0$ is a fixed number. If $u > h + t_0 + 1$, we have $F_l^u(M_l) \subset p^{(b+1)u-h} M_l \subset p^{bu+t_0+1} M_l$. Let $(N, \hat{F}^u) = (M_l, F_l^u) \bmod p^m$ and we also have $\hat{F}^u(N) \subset p^{bu+t_0+1} N$. Now consider the following morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : (W_m(l), (p^b \sigma^n)^u) &\to (N, \hat{F}^u) \\ 1 &\mapsto e, \end{aligned}$$

where as a function $\alpha = \beta$. Since the morphism β is non-zero when reduced modulo p^{t_0}, so is the morphism α. However, $p^{bu} e = p^{bu}\alpha(1) = \alpha(p^{bu}) = \alpha((p^b\sigma^n)^u(1)) = \hat{F}^u(\alpha(1)) = \hat{F}^u(e) \in p^{bu+t_0+1} N$ and thus $p^{m-t_0}\alpha(1) = p^{m-t_0} e = p^{m-t_0-bu} p^{bu} e \in p^{m-t_0-bu} p^{bu+t_o+1} N = p^{m+1} N = 0$ if $m \geq bu + t + 1 \geq bu + t_0 + 1$, which is a contradiction since $\alpha \bmod p^{t_0}$ is non-zero. Subclaim 2 is therefore proved.

By Subclaim 2, the F^n-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_D$ has Newton slope b at the closed point Spec l, a contradiction by our assumption. Therefore the claim is proved, i.e., $U = J_m$.

Now we have $S_{P_0} = U = J_m$ and J_m is affine, therefore S_{P_0} is affine and Theorem 5 is thus proved. ■

First application of Theorem 5:

Proposition 1 *Theorem 5 implies Theorem 3, i.e., if* S_{p_0} *is pure in* S *for each point* P_0 *in the xy-coordinate plane, then the Newton polygon stratification of* S *defined by* $\mathfrak{C}$ *is pure in* S.

Proof of Proposition 1: Let S and $\mathfrak{C}$ be as above and let ν_0 be a Newton polygon. We need to show that the set

$$S_{\nu_0} = \{s \in S \,|\, NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) = \nu_0\}$$

is an affine S-scheme. To prove that S_{ν_0} in S is affine, we can further assume S is affine. Let the break points of ν_0 be $Q_0, Q_1, \cdots, Q_t$ and let

$$S_{\geq \nu_0} = \{s \in S \,|\, NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \geq \nu_0\}.$$

Notice for any $s \in S$, the Newton polygon $NP(\mathfrak{C}_s)$ is ν_0 if and only if $NP(\mathfrak{C}_s) \geq \nu_0$ and moreover $Q_0, Q_1, \cdots, Q_t$ are all break points of $NP(\mathfrak{C}_s)$. Therefore $S_{\nu_0} = S_{\geq \nu_0} \cap S_{Q_0} \cap S_{Q_1} \cap \cdots \cap S_{Q_t}$. By Theorem 1, $S_{\geq \nu_0}$ is closed in S, thus affine. By Theorem 5, S_{Q_i} is affine for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, t$. Since S is affine thus separated, we conclude that S_{ν_o} is affine. ■

Second application of Theorem 5:

Proposition 2 *Theorem 5 implies Theorem 4.*

Proof of Proposition 2: From Theorem 5, we know that S_{P_0} is pure. As purity implies universal weak purity, we have S_{P_0} being universally weakly pure. ■

Now we have the following implications:

Theorem 5 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 4 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2.

Theorem 5 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 3 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2.

Third application of Theorem 5:

Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M, F)$ be an F^n-crystal over an algebraically closed field k of char $p > 0$. Let $\overline{M}$ be the reduction modulo p of M and let $\overline{F} : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}$ be the reduction modulo p of F. Then the p-rank t of $\mathfrak{C}$ can be defined equivalently as follows:

(i) It is $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\{x \in \overline{M} | \overline{F}(x) = x\})$.

(ii) It is the multiplicity t of the Newton slope 0 of $\mathfrak{C}$.

(iii) It is the unique non-negative integer such that $(t, 0)$ is a break point of the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}$.

Let S be a locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme and let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F^n-crystal over S. For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let Y_t be the reduced, locally closed subscheme of S formed by those points $s \in S$ with the property that the p-rank of the pullback of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\overline{k(s)}$, where $\overline{k(s)}$ is the algebraic closure of the residue field of s, is exactly t. We call Y_t the stratum of p-rank t of the p-rank stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$.

Based on (iii), one gets the following corollary:

Corollary 2 *Let S be a locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p-$scheme and $\mathfrak{C}$ be an $F-$crystal over S, then the p-rank strata of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ are pure in S.*

Proof of Corollary 2: Let Y_t be a stratum of the $p-$rank stratification of S as above. A point $s \in S$ belongs to Y_t if and only if $(t, 0)$ is a break point of the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}_s$. Using the same notation as in the statement of Theorem 5, this shows that $Y_t = S_{(t,0)}$. By Theorem 5, Y_t is affine in S. ■

Since purity implies weak purity, Corollary 2 implies the following theorem:

Theorem 6 (Th. Zink, [Zi01, (Proposition 5)]) *Let S be a locally Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p-$scheme and $\mathfrak{C}$ be an $F-$crystal over S, then the p-rank strata of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ are weakly pure in S.*

Fourth application of Theorem 5:

Let R be an $\mathbb{F}_p-$algebra and let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in R, i.e., $A \in M_{n\times n}(R)$. Let $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ be an n-dimensional vector whose entries are variables $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ and let $\underline{b}$

be a constant $n-$dimensional vector with coefficients in R, i.e., $\underline{b} \in R^n$. Let $\underline{x}^{[p]} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^p \\ x_2^p \\ \cdots \\ x_n^p \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the following Artin-Schreier equation:

$$\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]} + \underline{b}.$$

Let S be a R-scheme. Define

$$\phi : S \to \mathbb{N}$$

$$s \mapsto d = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\{\underline{x} \in \overline{k(s)}^n | \underline{x} = A_s\underline{x}^{[p]} + \underline{b}_s\}),$$

where $\overline{k(s)}$ is the algebraic closure of the residue field $k(s)$ of $s \in S$, A_s is the canonical image of A in $M_{n\times n}(\overline{k(s)})$ and $\underline{b}_s$ is the canonical image of $\underline{b}$ in $\overline{k(s)}^n$.

Let S be an R-scheme. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let Y_d be the reduced, locally closed subscheme of S formed by those points $s \in S$ with the property $\phi(s) = d$. We call Y_d the stratum of the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]} + \underline{b}$.

By the first proof of Theorem 2.4.1 (b) in [Va13], the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]} + \underline{b}$ is equivalent to the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = \tilde{A}\underline{x}^{[p]}$ for some $\tilde{A} \in M_{n\times n}(R)$. Therefore from now on, we will always assume $\underline{b} = \underline{0}$ in the Artin-Schreier stratification.

Corollary 3 *Let $S = Spec\ R$ be a locally Noetherian affine $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. Let $\underline{x}$, $\underline{x}^{[p]}$ and A be defined as above. Then each Artin-Schreier stratum of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]}$ is pure in S.*

Proof of Corollary 3: Let S_0 be a stratum of the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]}$. By replacing S by the schematic closure $\overline{S_0}$ of S_0 in S, we can assume that S_0 is open dense in S. We can further assume that the $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme S is reduced. Consider the pullback of S_0 in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_0^{\mathrm{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} & S_0 \\ \downarrow \text{open} & & \downarrow \text{open} \\ S^{\mathrm{perf}} = \operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} & S = \operatorname{Spec} R. \end{array}$$

Since the morphism $S_0^{\mathrm{perf}} \to S_0$ is integral and S_0 is an open subscheme of S, to prove that S_0 is affine it suffices to prove that S_0^{perf} is affine, cf. [Va06, (Lemma 2.9.2)]. Therefore, we can assume $R = R^{\mathrm{perf}}$, i.e., R is a reduced, Noetherian perfect $\mathbb{F}_p-$algebra.

Now consider the F-crystal $\mathfrak{C} = (W(R)^{2n}, F)$ over R, where $F = g\begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0_n \\ 0_n & pI_n \end{bmatrix}\sigma_{W(R)}$. Here g is a fixed invertible matrix in $GL_{2n}(W(R))$ lifting $\overline{g} = \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n \\ I_n & 0_n \end{bmatrix} \in GL_{2n}(R)$, I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, 0_n is the $n \times n$ zero matrix and $\sigma_{W(R)}$ is the Frobenius endomorphism of $W(R)$.

Claim: *For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the stratum of p-rank d of the p-rank stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ is the same as the stratum Y_d (using the same notation as in the definition of Artin-Schreier stratification) of the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]}$.*

Proof of Claim: Let $s \in S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, $\overline{k(s)}$ be the algebraic closure of its residue field, $\sigma_{W(\overline{k(s)})}$ be the Frobenius endomorphism of $W(\overline{k(s)})$ and $F_s = F \otimes \sigma_{W(\overline{k(s)})} = g_s\begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0_n \\ 0_n & pI_n \end{bmatrix}\sigma_{W(\overline{k(s)})}$, where g_s is the canonical image of g in $GL_{2n}(W(\overline{k(s)}))$. Consider the pullback $\mathfrak{C}_s = (W(\overline{k(s)})^{2n}, F_s)$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{k(s)}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_s & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} \overline{k(s)} & \longrightarrow & S = \operatorname{Spec} R. \end{array}$$

We investigate the $\mathbb{F}_p$-Vector space $V = (\{\underline{z} \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}_s} | \overline{F_s}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}\})$, where $\overline{F_s} = \overline{g_s}\begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0_n \\ 0_n & 0_n \end{bmatrix}\sigma_{\overline{k(s)}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_s & 0_n \\ I_n & 0_n \end{bmatrix}\sigma_{\overline{k(s)}}$ is the reduction modulo p of F_s, where A_s is the canonical image of A in $M_{n\times n}(\overline{k(s)})$ and $\overline{g_s}$ is g_s mod p, and $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_s} = (\overline{k(s)}^{2n}, \overline{F_s})$ is the reduction modulo p of $\mathfrak{C}_s$. Suppose $\underline{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \cdots \\ z_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{k(s)}^{2n}$. We have $\underline{z} \in V$ if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} A_s & 0_n \\ I_n & 0_n \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z_1^p \\ z_2^p \\ \cdots \\ z_{2n}^p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \cdots \\ z_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$ if and only if $A_s\begin{bmatrix} z_1^p \\ z_2^p \\ \cdots \\ z_n^p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \cdots \\ z_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $z_{n+1} = z_1^p, z_{n+2} = z_2^p, \cdots, z_{2n} = z_n^p$. Therefore

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\{\underline{x} \in \overline{k(s)}^n | \underline{x} = A_s\underline{x}^{[p]}\}) := d,$$

which means the stratum of p-rank d in the p-rank stratification of S defined by $\mathfrak{C}$ is the same as the stratum Y_d in the Artin-Schreier stratification of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]}$. Since $s \in S$ is arbitrary chosen and thus d is arbitrary, Claim is proved.

By Corollary 2, each Artin-Schreier stratum of S defined by the equation $\underline{x} = A\underline{x}^{[p]}$ is pure in S. This ends the proof of Corollary 3. ■

Remark: Deligne and Vasiu also obtained the results of Corollary 2 and 3 using different methods, cf. [De11] and [Va14].

References

[Be74] Pierre Berthelot: *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique $p > 0$*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 407, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[BM90] Pierre Berthelot and William Messing: *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline III. Théorèmes d'équivalence et de pleine fidélité*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, 173247, Progr. Math., Vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[De11] Pierre Deligne: An unpublished proof, notes to A. Vasiu, 2011.

[De72] Michel Demazure: *Lectures on p-divisible groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 302, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[Ei07] David Eisenbud: *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[Gr61] Alexander Grothendieck: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphisms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., vol. 11, 1961.

[Gr64] Alexander Grothendieck: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et desmorphismes de schéma*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., vol. 20, 1964, vol. 24, 1965, vol. 28, 1996, and vol. 32, 1967.

[Ha08] Michiel Hazewinkel: *Witt vectors*, part 1, manuscript, 148 pages, 2008.

[Hi80] Matsumura Hideyuki: *Commutative algebra, second ed.*, Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1980.

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor: *On the geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties, Annals of Math. Studies* 151, PUP 2001.

[Il94] Luc Illusie: *Crystalline cohomology. Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 4370, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[JO00] Aise Johan de Jong and Frans Oort: *Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons, J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (2000), no. 1, 209–241.

[Ka79] Nicholas Michael Katz: *Slope filtration of F-crystals, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes* (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I, Astérisque, No. 63 (1979), 113–163.

[NVW10] Marc-Hubert Nicole, Adrian Vasiu and Torsten Wedhorn: *Purity of level m stratifications, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 43 (2010), no. 6, 925955.

[Se79] Jean-Pierre Serre: *Local fields*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[Va06] Adrian Vasiu: *Crystalline boundedness principle, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 39 (2006), no. 2, 245–300.

[Va13] Adrian Vasiu: *A motivic conjecture of Milne, J. Reine Agew. Math. (Crelle)* 685 (2013), 181247.

[Va14] Adrian Vasiu: *Talk on Conference on Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry on the occasion of Gerd Faltings' 60th birthday*, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, 2014.

[Ya10] Yanhong Yang: *An improvement of de Jong–Oort's purity theorem*, preprint, 2010, arXiv:1004.3090.

[Zi01] Thomas Zink: *On the slope filtration*, *Duke Math. J.* 109 (2001), no. 1, 79–95.